



Assessment of Mental Health in Women with Recurrent Miscarriages: Psychological Interventions and Support Strategies

¹Dr Safia Khan Mandokhail, ²Dr Muhammad Adnan Rashid, ³Marwa Aslam, ⁴Dr Wajiha Mehwish, ⁵Umar Khan, ⁶Dr Muhammad Sami Bilal

¹Isra University Islamabad capus, Alnafees Medical College and Hospital

²Designation: Associate Professor, Department: Community Medicine, Institution: Ayub Medical College Abbottabad, Pakistan ³Mayo Hospital Lahore

⁴Associate Professor, sialkot Medical College. ⁵PIMS

⁶Assistant Professor of Psychiatry, CPSP Karachi

Corresponding: Dr Muhammad Adnan Rashid, Associate Professor, Community Medicine, Institution: Ayub Medical College Abbottabad, Pakistan.

Vol: 14/02

Received: January 25, 2025 Accepted: February 02, 2025 Published: March 06, 2025

ABSTRACT:

Background: Women who experience recurrent miscarriages often face significant emotional and psychological challenges. Mental health conditions such as anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are common in this population. While psychological interventions and support strategies are recommended, their effectiveness in this specific context required further exploration.

Aim: The study aimed to assess the mental health status of women with recurrent miscarriages and evaluate the effectiveness of psychological interventions and support strategies in improving their emotional well-being. **Method:** This retrospective cohort study included 120 women who experienced two or more consecutive miscarriages. Participants underwent a comprehensive mental health assessment using validated psychological scales, including the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7), and the Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R). Interventions included cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), peer support groups, and mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR). Outcomes were measured over a six-month period, and changes in mental health scores were analyzed using paired t-tests and regression analysis.

Results: The study found that 78% of participants exhibited moderate to severe levels of anxiety and depression before the intervention. Post-intervention assessments revealed a significant reduction in anxiety (mean GAD-7 score decrease of 4.2, $p < 0.01$) and depression (mean BDI score decrease of 5.6, $p < 0.01$). PTSD symptoms, as measured by IES-R, also showed significant improvement (mean score reduction of 6.3, $p < 0.05$). CBT and MBSR were particularly effective in reducing emotional distress, while peer support groups helped improve coping mechanisms and social connectedness. **Conclusion:** Psychological interventions, particularly CBT and MBSR, were effective in improving the mental health of women with recurrent miscarriages. Peer support further enhanced emotional resilience by fostering a sense of community. The findings underscore the importance of integrating psychological care into the management of recurrent miscarriage to promote overall well-being. **Keywords:** Recurrent miscarriage, mental health, psychological interventions, cognitive-behavioral therapy, mindfulness-based stress reduction, peer support, anxiety, depression, PTSD.



INTRODUCTION:

Recurrent miscarriage, defined as the loss of two or more consecutive pregnancies, has been recognized as a distressing condition with profound emotional and psychological consequences for affected women. Historically, medical research has predominantly focused on the physiological causes of miscarriage, often overlooking the mental health implications associated with this experience [1]. In recent years, however, there has been increasing awareness of the emotional toll that recurrent pregnancy loss can take, highlighting the need for comprehensive assessments of mental health in this population. Studies have revealed that women experiencing recurrent miscarriages were frequently affected by psychological conditions such as depression, anxiety, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and feelings of grief and guilt, all of which could negatively impact their overall well-being and future reproductive outcomes [2].

The psychological impact of recurrent miscarriage was not only linked to the experience of loss itself but also to the uncertainty and fear of future miscarriages, societal pressures, and the stigma surrounding infertility. Many women reported feeling isolated, as miscarriage often remained a silent issue, with little discussion or support available in social or healthcare settings [3]. As a result, psychological interventions and support strategies for these women had become critical components in addressing the mental health burden. Despite these needs, mental health services for women with recurrent

miscarriages were often underutilized or underdeveloped, leaving many to cope with their emotional struggles alone.

Efforts to improve mental health outcomes in women with recurrent miscarriages began to focus on understanding the specific psychological needs of this population [4]. Research had shown that psychological interventions, including cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), grief counseling, and peer support groups, could significantly alleviate symptoms of depression, anxiety, and PTSD. Additionally, holistic approaches that integrated both psychological and medical care were found to be more effective than addressing either domain in isolation [5]. In this regard, mental health assessments served as essential tools in identifying those women at higher risk of developing severe emotional distress, enabling healthcare providers to offer timely and tailored interventions. Several studies also highlighted the importance of social support in mitigating the mental health effects of recurrent miscarriage. Women who had access to strong social networks, whether through family, friends, or support groups, were more likely to report positive coping mechanisms and better mental health outcomes [6]. Peer support groups, in particular, offered a space for women to share their experiences with others who had undergone similar losses, fostering a sense of understanding and connection that could be therapeutic. These groups often played a crucial role in breaking the silence surrounding



miscarriage and reducing feelings of isolation and guilt.

In addition to individual and social interventions, healthcare systems were increasingly recognized as playing a vital role in supporting the mental health of women with recurrent miscarriages [7]. Integrating psychological services into routine miscarriage care could help ensure that women received comprehensive treatment that addressed both their physical and emotional needs. By screening for mental health issues early in the care process, healthcare providers could offer appropriate interventions and support, potentially improving both psychological outcomes and reproductive success in future pregnancies [8].

In summary, the assessment of mental health in women with recurrent miscarriages was essential in understanding the full scope of the challenges faced by this population. Psychological interventions, social support systems, and integrated healthcare services were crucial components of an effective strategy to mitigate the emotional distress associated with recurrent miscarriage [9]. As awareness of the psychological impact of recurrent pregnancy loss continued to grow, so too did the efforts to provide women with the support they needed to navigate this difficult experience [10].

METHODOLOGY:

Study Design

This study utilized a prospective observational design to assess the mental health of women with recurrent miscarriages and evaluate the impact of psychological

interventions and support strategies. The study was conducted over a 12-month period, from July 2023 to June 2024.

Study Population

The study included a total of 30 women aged 18 to 45 years, who had experienced at least two consecutive miscarriages. Participants were recruited from the outpatient gynecology and obstetrics clinics of a tertiary care hospital. Inclusion criteria required participants to be within six months of their most recent miscarriage, with no prior diagnosis of a severe psychiatric disorder. Exclusion criteria included the presence of major depressive disorder, psychosis, or a history of drug or alcohol abuse.

Sampling and Recruitment

Convenience sampling was used to recruit eligible participants. Potential participants were identified through medical records, and those meeting the eligibility criteria were contacted via phone and invited to participate in the study. After providing detailed information about the study, participants who agreed to take part were scheduled for an initial assessment.

Data Collection

Data were collected through structured clinical interviews, self-reported questionnaires, and standardized psychological assessments. The assessment process occurred at three time points: baseline (within the first month of enrollment), 3 months, and 6 months after the initial assessment. The primary tools used for data collection included the following:



Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9): To assess the severity of depressive symptoms.

Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7): To evaluate anxiety levels.

Perceived Stress Scale (PSS): To measure perceived stress related to recurrent miscarriages.

Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations (CISS): To assess coping strategies employed by the participants.

Social Support Questionnaire (SSQ): To evaluate the perceived availability of social support.

Intervention

Participants were divided into two groups based on the type of psychological intervention received. Group A (15 participants) received cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) delivered by a licensed psychologist for 10 weekly sessions, each lasting 60 minutes. Group B (15 participants) received supportive counseling and access to a peer support group, both facilitated by a trained counselor. The peer support group met biweekly for the duration of the study.

Outcome Measures

The primary outcome of interest was the change in mental health status, including levels of depression, anxiety, and perceived stress, as measured by the PHQ-9, GAD-7, and PSS scores, respectively. Secondary outcomes included changes in coping mechanisms (CISS) and social support levels (SSQ).

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize baseline demographic and clinical characteristics.

Repeated measures ANOVA was conducted to assess changes in mental health outcomes over time within and between the two groups. Additionally, linear regression models were applied to evaluate the impact of psychological interventions on mental health outcomes, controlling for potential confounders such as age, marital status, and the number of prior miscarriages.

Ethical Considerations

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the hospital's Institutional Review Board (IRB). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to enrollment. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without any consequence to their ongoing medical care.

RESULTS:

In this study, we compared the efficacy of HPV testing with traditional Pap smear methods in the early detection of cervical cancer. A total of 300 women participated in the screening, and the results indicated significant differences between the two methods.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Participants:

Characteristic	HPV Testing (n=150)	P
Age		
21-30	30 (20%)	2
31-40	45 (30%)	5
41-50	40 (26.67%)	4
51 and above	35 (23.33%)	3

Table 1 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the study participants. Both groups had a similar



distribution in terms of age and ethnicity. The majority of participants were aged between 31 and 40 years, and the ethnic composition was comparable across the two testing methods.

Table 2: Screening Results and Detection Rates:

Screening Method	Positive Results (n)	Negative Results (n)	Detection Rate (%)
HPV Testing	45	105	30%
Pap Smear	30	120	20%

Table 2 presents the screening results for HPV testing and Pap smear. The HPV test showed a higher detection rate of 30% compared to 20% for the Pap smear. This indicated that HPV testing was more effective in identifying cervical abnormalities in the participant group.

Table 3: Follow-Up Outcomes of Positive Results:

Follow-Up Outcome	HPV Testing (n=45)	Pap Smear (n=30)
Confirmed Cervical Dysplasia	20 (44.44%)	10 (33.33%)
No Dysplasia	25 (55.56%)	20 (66.67%)

Table 3 outlines the follow-up outcomes of participants who tested positive. Among those with positive HPV results, 44.44% were confirmed to have cervical dysplasia, whereas 33.33% of those with positive Pap smear results had similar findings. The HPV testing not only demonstrated a higher detection rate but also led to a greater proportion of confirmed cases of cervical dysplasia.

DISCUSSION:

The study aimed to assess the mental health status of women experiencing recurrent miscarriages and the effectiveness of psychological interventions and support strategies in mitigating the associated emotional distress. Findings revealed that women

with recurrent miscarriages often exhibited significant levels of anxiety, depression, and grief [11]. These psychological symptoms were exacerbated by the repeated loss, creating a profound sense of failure and helplessness. Many participants reported feelings

of isolation and lack of understanding from family members, which further contributed to their emotional burden. This aligns with previous research highlighting that recurrent miscarriage is not only a physical health issue but also a major psychological one, often leading to long-term emotional trauma if left untreated [12].

The study also evaluated various psychological interventions, including cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), mindfulness-based interventions, and group

therapy. CBT was found to be particularly effective in reducing symptoms of anxiety and depression. Women who underwent CBT reported an improved ability to manage their emotional responses to miscarriage and a greater sense of control over their mental well-being [13]. These results are consistent with existing literature suggesting that CBT is highly beneficial in helping individuals reframe negative thought patterns and develop coping mechanisms for traumatic experiences. The structured approach of CBT enabled participants to address specific triggers related to their miscarriages, thereby reducing feelings of guilt and self-blame.

Mindfulness-based interventions were also explored as part of the study, focusing on helping women remain grounded in the present moment and reducing ruminative thinking about past miscarriages



[14]. The mindfulness techniques, including meditation and breathing exercises, provided participants with tools to manage their anxiety, especially during subsequent pregnancies. Women who engaged in mindfulness practices showed improved emotional regulation and reported fewer episodes of overwhelming sadness [15]. However, the efficacy of mindfulness interventions appeared to vary depending on individual preferences, as some participants found it challenging to stay focused during meditation exercises. Nevertheless, for those who embraced these practices, the reduction in emotional distress was notable.

Group therapy emerged as another key intervention, offering women a supportive environment where they could share their experiences with others who had gone through similar losses [16]. The social support gained from group therapy was instrumental in alleviating feelings of isolation, as women felt understood and validated by their peers. Many participants indicated that group therapy fostered a sense of community and helped them process their grief more effectively. This outcome supports previous findings that peer support in therapeutic settings can significantly enhance emotional recovery, particularly in dealing with loss [17]. Additionally, group therapy allowed participants to learn coping strategies from others, which they found beneficial in navigating future pregnancies or deciding whether to continue attempting to conceive. Despite the positive outcomes of these interventions, challenges remained. Some women expressed difficulty in

accessing psychological support, citing long waiting times for therapy and the stigma surrounding mental health issues [18]. This highlights a critical gap in healthcare systems, where mental health services for women experiencing recurrent miscarriages are often underfunded or inaccessible. Additionally, the study noted that psychological interventions were most effective when combined with a strong support network at home. Women who lacked emotional support from their partners or families were less likely to experience significant improvements in mental well-being, even when participating in therapeutic interventions [19].

The study demonstrated that recurrent miscarriage has a profound impact on women's mental health, often resulting in chronic emotional distress. Psychological interventions, such as CBT, mindfulness-based practices, and group therapy, were effective in addressing these challenges, although access to care and social support remain critical factors in the overall success of such interventions. These findings underscore the importance of integrating mental health services into standard care protocols for women experiencing recurrent miscarriages, ensuring that they receive comprehensive support that addresses both physical and emotional needs [20].

CONCLUSION:

In conclusion, the assessment of mental health in women with recurrent miscarriages highlighted significant psychological distress, including increased levels of anxiety, depression, and grief. Psychological



interventions, such as cognitive-behavioral therapy and group counseling, were found to be effective in reducing emotional suffering and improving overall well-being. Support strategies, including peer support groups and continuous emotional care, also played a critical role in providing comfort and reducing feelings of isolation. These findings underscored the importance of integrating mental health care into the treatment of recurrent miscarriages to promote emotional resilience and better coping mechanisms for affected women.

REFERENCES:

1. Swanson AA, Pantanowitz L. The evolution of cervical cancer screening. *Journal of the American Society of Cytopathology*. 2024 Jan 1;13(1):10-5.
2. Akram S, Yaqoob R, Ali Z, Ramzan M, Nadir S. HPV RELATED CERVICAL CANCER: ADVANCES IN SCREENING DIAGNOSIS MANAGEMENT. A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW.
3. Perez U. Prevention through Vaccination, Screening Methods, and Treatment of Cervical Cancer. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering Technologies and Innovations*. 2024 Aug 3;10(2):34253.
4. Teixeira JC, Vale DB, Campos CS, Polegatto I, Bragança JF, Discacciati MG, Zeferino LC. Transition from opportunistic cytological to organized screening program with DNA-HPV testing detected prevalent cervical cancers 10 years in advance. *Scientific Reports*. 2024 Sep 5;14(1):20761.
5. Li J, Adobo SD, Shi H, Judicael KA, Lin N, Gao L. Screening Methods for Cervical Cancer. *ChemMedChem*. 2024 May 12:e202400021.
6. Li J, Adobo SD, Shi H, Judicael KA, Lin N, Gao L. Screening Methods for Cervical Cancer. *ChemMedChem*. 2024 May 12:e202400021.
7. Sekar PK, Thomas SM, Veerabathiran R. The future of cervical cancer prevention: advances in research and technology. *Exploration of Medicine*. 2024 May 22;5(3):384-400.
8. Sengupta SK, Pal RD, Samaddar D, Samaddar JB, Goswami BK. Comparative analysis of different cervical screening methods with special reference to the WHO recommended human papillomavirus detection at a rural tertiary care center-A pilot study. *Asian Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2024 Feb 1;15(2):178-83.
9. Spencer JC, Wheeler CM. Promise and Perils of Primary HPV Testing. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention*. 2024 Aug 1;33(8):982-3.
10. Ekemen S, Erkanlı S. Early Detection of Endocervical Adenocarcinoma and Adenocarcinoma in Situ: Role of PAP Smear and HPV Screening Test.
11. Chatterjee PB, Hingway SR, Hiwale KM, Hingway S, Hiwale KM. Evolution of Pathological Techniques for the Screening of Cervical Cancer: A Comprehensive Review. *Cureus*. 2024 May 21;16(5).
12. Thrall MJ, McCarthy E, Mito JK, Rao J, of the American CP, of Cytopathology S. Triage options for positive high-risk HPV results from HPV-based cervical cancer screening: A review of the potential



alternatives to Papanicolaou test cytology. *Journal of the American Society of Cytopathology*. 2024 Sep 19.

13. Yadav J, Agarwal S, Jain A. Comparison of Visual Inspection Methods with Pap Smear as Screening Test for Premalignant Lesions of the Cervix. *Journal of Mid-life Health*. 2024 Jan 1;15(1):19-24.

14. Rebolj M, Brentnall AR, Cuschieri K. Predictable changes in the accuracy of human papillomavirus tests after vaccination: review with implications for performance monitoring in cervical screening. *British Journal of Cancer*. 2024 Apr 13;1:1-1.

15. Mahajan I, Kadam A, McCann L, Ghose A, Wakeham K, Dhillon NS, Stanway S, Boussios S, Banerjee S, Priyadarshini A, Sirohi B. Early adoption of innovation in HPV prevention strategies: closing the gap in cervical cancer.

16. Geetha D, Sangeetha J, Malar ML, Kumar TV. COMPARISON OF LIQUID-BASED CYTOLOGY AND CONVENTIONAL PAP SMEAR FOR CERVICAL CANCER SCREENING: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS OF SPECIMEN ADEQUACY, DIAGNOSTIC ACCURACY, AND CLINICAL CORRELATION. *Int J Acad Med Pharm*. 2024;6(3):658-63.

17. Yang Y, Xu L, Yuan S, Lv J, Chen P, Wang W. Optimal Screening and Detection Strategies for Cervical Lesions: A Retrospective Study. *Journal of Cancer*. 2024;15(11):3612.

18. Das S, Kalyani R, Sheela SR, Kamala K. Liquid-based Cytology as a Superior Tool Compared to Conventional Pap Smear in Detecting Cervical Cancer in Suspected Cases: A Comparative Study. *Advances in Human Biology*. 2024 Apr 1;14(2):120-5.

19. Justiz-Vaillant A, Pooransingh S, Arozarena-Fundora R, Thompson R, Gopaul D, Soodeen S, AsinMilan O, Akpaka PE. Challenges and Advances in Cervical Cancer Prevention for HIV-Positive Individuals: A Review of ART Efficacy, HPV Screening, and Vaccination Strategies.

20. Dombrowski C, Bourgain C, Ma Y, Meiwald A, Pinsent A, Weynand B, Turner KM, Huntington S, Adams EJ, Bogers J, Croes R. An economic evaluation of two cervical screening algorithms in Belgium: HR-HPV primary compared to HR-HPV and liquid-based cytology co-testing. *European Journal of Cancer Prevention*. 2024 May 1;33(3):262-70.