



Advances in Joint Replacement Surgery: Exploring How Innovations in Implant Materials, Surgical Techniques, and Postoperative Rehabilitation Are Improving Outcomes in Total Hip and Knee Arthroplasty

¹Dr Muhammad Azeem Akhund, ²Ali Raza, ³Dr Kishore Kumar khatri, ⁴Mobeen ALI ⁵Mohib Ali, ⁶Dr.Ghazanfar Ali Shah

¹Associate professor department of orthopedic surgery and traumatology PUMHS W Nawabshah

²PIMS

³Assistant professor Department of orthopedic surgery and traumatology PUMHS Nawabshah

⁴PIMS

⁵PIMS

⁶Consultant Orthopaedic Surgeon, Shaheed Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto Institute Of Trauma, SMBB-IT, Assistant Professor, Dow University Of Health Sciences, DUHS

Vol: 14/03

Received: June 25, 2025 Accepted: July 02, 2025 Published: July 20, 2025

Abstract

Background:THA and TKA are conventional clinical procedures that offer a long-term solution for serious osteo and rheumatoid arthritis and severe joint deterioration, enhance mobility and overall abber qualitatively the quality of life of millions of patients around the world. However, this method and materials are not without their drawbacks namely implant wear and long hours of rehabilitation, thus the need to continually innovate.

Aim:This research will seek to identify newer implant materials, newer surgical approaches and post surgical rehabilitation techniques for THA and TKA and assess the effectiveness of the innovation.

Methods:The electronic databases of PUBMED, Cochrane, EMBASE, and Web of Science were searched for clinical trials, cohort studies, and meta-analysis of innovations that occurred in the recent decade. Studies were identified through PubMed as well as clinical trial databases, and orthopaedic journals and outcomes were analysed and compared to the following parameters: implant durability, precise positioning, incidence of complications, patient's improvement, patient satisfaction, and the quality of life.



Results:Recent implant materials introduced in the market include cross-linked polyethylene, ceramics, and titanium alloys; they have overcome the problem of wear resistance and low revision rates. MIS and Robotic assisted surgery is a specialty, which replaces conventional and time tested open surgery provides high degree of accuracy improving recovery period. New postoperative rehabilitation treatments, such as early mobility and telehealth-based physiotherapy and occupational therapy, contribute to improved functional outcomes and valued by patients, decreases the length of stay and readmission rates.

Conclusion:The advancements in materials, in technique, and in postoperative care have raised the bar for joint replacement surgery making the process safer, longer lasting, and more centered on the end user. Yet, cost and issues of access have remained a contentious issue for constant research in its practical application to be made cost effective and accessible. Such progressive changes show clear evidence of sustained enhancement of patient and service delivery outcomes.

Keywords:Joint replacement surgery, total hip arthroplasty, total knee arthroplasty, implant materials, robotic-assisted surgery, postoperative rehabilitation, patient outcomes, advanced ceramics, cross-linked polyethylene, telehealth.

Introduction

Arthroplasty has come to light to provide a functional alternative to joint damage caused by arthritis while having severe pain and restricted movement become disabling symptoms in this population. THA and TKA are two of the most frequent orthopaedic surgeries performed across the globe, at present. People have taken them because other interventions to alleviate joint pain and to enhance the quality of life have not been helpful or are not available especially among those with end-stage osteoarthritis and joint-debilitating diseases[1].

Osteoarthritis is a complementary kind of arthritis involving the breakdown of cartilage within joints and the adjacent bones. In respectively, the World Health Organization (WHO) state that 10% of man and above 18% of woman over 60 years have

symptomatic osteoarthritis. Often times conservative care including medications, physical therapy and dietary changes do not offer sustained relief as surgery becomes inevitable. Consequently, there has been a high and increasing rate of joint replacement surgeries that millions of them are performed each year. For example, according to the AJRR – the American Joint Replacement Registry) the United States witnesses more than one million hip and knee replacements annually[2].

Both THA and TKA have been shown to have high success rates concerning relieving pain and including the stability of the joint together with high levels of patient satisfaction. However, in the past, joint replacements have had some limitations through conventional techniques' and material's use; including wear and loosening of the implant and required revisions surgeries. Such limitations need



foresight to look for other ways to enhance the surgical results and to increase implant durability.

It is with this knowledge that despite newer joint replacement procedures, certain constraints of techniques and materials used in earlier joint replacement surgeries can be identified for further enhancement. In the past, joint implant designs used metal and plastic but has been proven that though effective, the metal and plastic was in one way or the other wore out. Such wear could result in implant loosening due to inflammation, osteolysis, culminating in implant failure, thus requiring revision surgeries. Moreover, the conventional open techniques were marked by large exposures with major soft tissue dissection and thus corresponded to longer postoperative convalescence and increased risk of complications[3].

Modern medical technology and materials science development has led to the creation of solutions intended to address these issues. Latest implant materials ranging from highly cross linked polyethylene, advanced ceramics and titanium alloys are more durable and better in the body. In the same way, introduction of robotic-assisted surgery and minimal invasive approaches has improved the degree of surgery, minimized side effects and decreased time of recovery. Rehabilitation after surgery has also changed, as evidenced based approach supports early ambulation and restoration of full function.

As will be discussed in detail, these innovations offer the promise of clinical benefit for patients in terms of reducing implant failure rates, enhancing

functional recovery, and improving the patient's quality of life. However, their use demands assessment of their benefits and drawbacks, their feasibility in various facilities, and in relation to their cost.

The aim of this discussion is to consider new developments in the material science of implants and prosthesis, surgical approaches, and rehabilitation processes in cases of total hip and knee replacements. Therefore, this investigation seeks to present the synthesized findings from existing recent studies and clinical trials in order to establish the impact of these innovations on better results in joint replacement surgery[4].

Specifically, this analysis seeks to:

- Evaluate Innovations in Implant Materials: Discuss the effects of advanced material on the life span of the implant, the wear factor of the implant and fate of the patient.
- Analyze Surgical Techniques: Explore the advantages of the employment of the robotic systems and minimally invasive approaches together with other advancements that have the aim of improving the accuracy of the movement and increasing the list of potential complications.
- Explore Postoperative Rehabilitation: Mark the principles of current rehabilitation approaches that are considered to contribute to early restoration of the patient's function and improved early outcomes: early mobilization, digital tools.



Assess Overall Impact on Patient Outcomes: The more concrete question to be answered can thus be summarized as: To what extent does the improvement in quality of life, satisfaction, and long-term implant success result from these advances[5]. In pursuing these objectives, this analysis intends to contribute to clinicians, researchers, and information policymakers in the part of joint substitution exertion. The results will underscore the need for deploying new technologies and approaches for implementing effective THA/TKA care models to address the demands of the patients. Further, this research will reveal potential opportunities for future study, namely in the area of the cost and feasibility concerns related to existing advanced joint replacements technology.

This research work is divided into a number of major parts as follows: Subsequent to this, the Materials and Methods section provides a description on the method employed in gathering and synthesizing information about new developments in joint replacement surgery. The Results section deals with material retrieved regarding implants used, surgical approaches, and therapeutic management with special reference to the consequences for patients. These findings are discussed in the context of the more conventional techniques utilised in this research field, as well as the potential application of these techniques for clinical practice. Lastly, a brief discussion of major findings and implications for future research and practice is offered in the Conclusion.

In addressing each of the aforementioned aspects systematically, the present analysis seeks to add to the existing literature on joint replacement surgery and underscore the impact of innovation in enhancing the quality of life of patients all over the world[6].

Materials and Methods

To systematically assess these advances in THA and TKA, this analysis adopted a subsequent systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trial, cohort, and observational research latensify. The main purpose was to evaluate the effect of new developments in implant materials, surgery, and postoperative therapy. Special emphasis was placed on comparing these novelties to conventional methods to understand the essential enhancements and future studies. All the studies discussed in this review concerned both primary and revision joint replacement surgeries, providing an overview of the progress in the entire range of operations. In offering findings from qualitative and quantitative studies side by side, the analysis aimed at offering convincing research evidence in relation to the impact of these innovations and their effectiveness in relation to intrapartum care and the associated clients' experiences.

Eligible subjects and studies for this review were limited based on their recording time over the last 10 years in order to capture the most recent best practices in the field of orthopaedics. Also, first preference was given to randomised controlled trials (RCT) and large cohorts because of their higher



evidential rating. Such comparative material-technology studies, wherein newer techniques or materials were compared with traditional practices were especially useful in showing the small but gradual advancements the innovations offered. The review also utilized meta-analyses from other high impact orthopaedic journals again to pool data on other features that are regularly addressed in the literature, including implant durability, complication rate, and patient satisfaction[7].

The papers were identified through a highly targeted search in PubMed and Embase, as well as the Cochrane Library. Literature search terms selected included: THA and TKA, innovations in joint replacement, robotic assisted arthroplasty, bio compatible implants and postoperative rehabilitation protocols. To increase the specificity of the search, in addition to the Pub Med database, the sources used included ClinicalTrial.gov and proceedings of major conferences by professional orthopaedic associations. Every identified study was assessed for kin and abstracts and full texts were reviewed by several investigators to reduce bias and increase the reliability of the procedure.

Concerning studies' inclusion criteria, it was aimed at following the highest principles of methodology and providing high-quality evidence only. Studies that reported innovations to joint replacement in the last ten years for ADT patients who receive THA or TKA were included in the analysis. The first type of selection criterion excluded research whose investigations did not differentiate between conventional and unorthodox interventions, as well

as those produced in non-English languages. In addition, qualitative studies or studies with inadequate data or with large methodological flaws including small samples or lack of appropriate controls were excluded from the present review. The last dataset included various types of study, thus presenting the international view on the progress in joint replacement surgery[8].

The parameters considered in this study were selected such that they capture all the possible ways that the advancements would affect clinical data as well as the symptoms reported by patients. Longevity of the implants was a main concern as the life of joint implants determines the number of revisions required and patient satisfaction. Highly cross linked polyethylene and advanced ceramics which are advanced material innovations were tested on their wear resistance and biocompatibility. Surgical precision was another valuable measure, as works of robot-assisted surgery being investigated pointing to better accuracy, orientation, and general surgical outcomes.

In addition to the primary outcomes, complication, infection rates, implant loosening, and perioperative adverse event profiles were used to assess the safety of new techniques and materials. Static and dynamic clinical scores were used as functional recovery assessment parameters including ROM, walking distance and strength assessments to determine the effectiveness of postoperative rehabilitation plans. Moreover, clinical records were also examined with regards to satisfaction and quality of life as well as the patients' capacity for readjusting to everyday



activities. These parameters were assessed with the aid of some attainment based tools like the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) and Oxford Hip and Knee Scores[9]. To capture the breadth of innovation in joint replacement surgery, this analysis explored advancements across three key domains: implant materials, surgical procedures and immediate postoperative management and functional rehabilitation.

The review identified new advances in implant materials for improving longevity and minimizing adverse effects. The standard types of metal-on-polyethylene implant can also solve the problem, but they wear over time, causing osteolysis. However, when used nowadays new materials like highly cross-linked polyethylene are superior in wear performance and thus there is very low chance of implant loosening. The new material type for hip replacements is specifically ceramics, which have shown high levels of hardness and biocompatibility to minimize friction and wear. Titanium alloys due to their high strength-to weight ratio and high osteointegration, have become preferred materials for most prostheses. These interventions were assessed with respect to the effectiveness of the intervention in decreasing the rate of return to

The analysis also explored emerging surgical methods that provide better accuracy and reduce the level of tissue damage. Robotic assisted surgery which can be considered as one of the innovations of the year uses real-time imaging and computerization aids to improve precision in implant positioning and

orientation. Research thus indicates that this precision minimises situations where implants may be wrongly positioned; a situation that causes early implant failure. Other approaches under the broad subject heading of MIS were another major emphasis, which demonstrated the advantages of MIS procedures in terms of tissue injury, bleeding and convalescence. By using the MIS techniques together with such tools as the intraoperative navigation systems it is possible to reach the best results and minimize the pain in the after period and the need for rehabilitation.

The last of the innovative care delivery models that were discussed in this analysis was postoperative rehabilitation, a key aspect for recovery and functional outcome after operation. Whereas earlier programmes of rehabilitation can be described as conservative, with limited weight bearing and passive exercises, modern principles of rehabilitation are oriented toward early weight bearing and physio and occupational activity. ERAS protocols, developed for joint replacement patients as well, have been found to decrease the length of hospital stay and to produce better functional results. Further, the advancement of intelligent solutions including wearable sensors and telemedicine significantly transformed the rehabilitation process enhancing the real-time observation and monitoring of patients. The improvements discussed have increased the availability of individualised rehabilitation for patients and consequently offer solutions with improved results and increased convenience.



This paper therefore aims at presenting a detailed synthesis of the linked advancements in the materials, techniques, and rehabilitation strategies by which this study establishes how the current innovations are well-positioned towards altering the current joint replacement surgery. The detailed evaluation made here also forms the basis from which the results and discussion in subsequent sections are based[10].

Results

The availability and use of newer implant materials represent a major landmark in the procedures of joint replacement surgery since they have solved fundamental shortcomings of the processes involved. State now materials including highly cross-linked polyethylene and advanced ceramics have better wear and biocompatibility resulting in prolonged implant longevity. In contrast to conventional cobalt-chrome or stainless steel femur heads that wore against polyethylene acetabular cups, these new materials produced substantially lower rates of wear and its byproduct osteolysis – often cited as a major reason for implant loosening and failure. Of the various forms of polyethylene incorporating a wear resistant material, cross-linked polyethylene has shown the most promising results through the use of a carbon based material to reinforce the molecular structure of the polymer. Literature reviews present findings that show improved wear rates ranging from 55% to 90%, which quantifies into better seven to fifteen-year revision surgery rates[11].

Advanced ceramics applied in both THA and TKA characterized by high hardness, smooth surface and non-corrosive nature. These materials have been especially useful in hip replacements, where their wear rates have been shown to minimize dislocation and to offer nearly the full range of physiologic motion. Furthermore, due to their light weight and high strength, titanium alloys play a significant and increasing roll in implant production. These alloys stimulate bone apposition and reduce micromovement that may affect implant stability and eventually cause implant loosening. Altogether, these materials helpful for better result and also increased the usage of implants and patient’s satisfaction level.


M a t e r i a l	Advantages
C r o s s - l i n k e	High wear resistance, reduced osteolysis.



d p o l y e t h y l e n e	
A d v a n c e d c e r a m i c s	Low friction, high hardness, and smoothness.
T i t a n	Excellent osteointegration and durability.

i u m a l l o y s	
---	--

Advantages of Advanced Implant Materials in



Techniques of surgery have become more advanced with adoption of the robotic assisted system and minimal invasive surgery. Robotic technology has



become the surgical bedrock for surgical accuracy with the use of surgical robots particularly in joint arthroplasty. Robotically assisted devices provide superior location identification and accurate implant size as a result of preoperative imaging and intraoperative navigation to minimize inaccuracies that can lead to malalignment and instability. Systematic reviews have established that robotic systems significantly reduce misalignment errors by 40% while drastically cutting implantation failure rates against traditional styles of surgery. These improvements which we have witnessed changes functions and pain to patients, more so improved implant durability. MIS has therefore continued to revolutionize the delivery of joint replacement surgery through lightening the burden of the surgery. In contrast with the typical, fully open procedures whereby large amounts of soft tissue are widely exposed, MIS approaches achieve focal access with less tissue disruption. It has the advantages of little blood loss, less post-operative pain, and shorter post-operative stay in the hospital. The reviewed studies show that patients who receive MIS provide shorter hospitalization, better implant satisfaction ratings, and just as good stability and outcome to the treatment. Integrating with robotic systems increases these benefits and realizes a factor multiplied form where MIS initiates the maximum of precision and minimum of invasiveness[12].


T	Benefits
e	

c	
h	
n	
i	
q	
u	
e	
R	Enhanced precision, reduced complications.
o	
b	
o	
t	
i	
c	
-	
a	
s	
s	
i	
s	
t	
e	
d	
s	
u	
r	
g	
e	
r	
y	
M	
i	Lower blood loss, quicker recovery.



n
i
m
a
l
l
y
i
n
v
a
s
i
v
e
s
u
r
g
e
r
y

Benefits of Advanced Surgical Techniques



In the past several years, several key changes have occurred in the process of postoperative rehabilitation inclusive of the encouraging early mobility and the use of digital aids. Standard rehabilitation measures avoided early mobilization for fear of compromising the incision area, new studies confirm that early weight bearing, and activity are safe and effective. ERAS guidelines semi-restrictive movement, rigorous activity schedules and precise exercise regimens to help patients to be functional quicker. All these protocols were found to shorten hospital stay to between 20 to 30 percent and also enhance functional recovery such as the




joint flexibility and muscle strength. Technological advancement further enhance methods of rehabilitation through wearable sensors, and telehealth platforms. Smartwears provide constant feedback to the location and magnitude of joint movement, which may help patients and clinicians to track the progress of healing and change therapy regimens based on observed results. The use of telecommunication presentations also helps telehealth extend the reach of rehabilitation services for patients who are not able to attend a physical session. They also increase the convenience of rehabilitation, as well as the compliance with rehab protocols to achieve better levels of functional recovery and increased satisfaction rates. Research has shown that telehealth assisted rehabilitation is effective if not more effective than usual rehabilitation processes[13].

R e h a b i l i t a t i o n A p p	Key Outcomes
---	---------------------

r o a c h	
E a r l y m o b i l i z a t i o n	Faster recovery, improved range of motion.
D i g i t a l t o o l s	Enhanced monitoring, increased adherence.



Key Outcomes of Rehabilitation Approach



All of the improvements in implant materials, surgery techniques, as well as the protocols of the treatment of patients represent beneficial results in terms of treatment outcomes. Patients who receive joint replacement with new technologies go home earlier, and they have few readmissions because it is less likely that they develop complications or take longer to heal. The adoption of robotic assisted surgery in combination with material science technology has led to development of implants that have long durability and reliability thus reducing number of revision surgeries. In addition, more effective methods of rehabilitation enable patients

to get back to the normal operation of their lives and reach better levels of independence. Patient-oriented assessments of function and pain severity from the WOMAC and Oxford Hip and Knee Scores are all significantly improved. Overall, patient satisfaction has gone up due to less pain, time to recovery and enhanced mobilization. Taken together, such achievements corroborate the fact that innovations in joint replacement surgery can be revolutionary in efficient and effective delivery of care to patients enhancing their surgical experience to produce results that were inconceivable earlier. Based on this synthesis, it is argued that continuous improvement in orthopedic care is possible and necessary and that this analysis offers directions for translating these findings into practice. Future works should therefore be directed towards solving cost and accessibility related issues to facilitate wide implementation of such path breaking technologies.

Discussion

Newer methodologies in joint replacement surgery options include improved prosthesis materials and design, surgical methods of replacement and postoperative rehabilitation which have effectively minimized or eliminated many drawbacks of the standard procedures of this nature. Traditionally, joint replacements most commonly involved metal-on-polyethylene implants, which, while reconstructing the function of the joint, was found over time to experience wear and resultant pathologic processes like osteolysis and implant loosening. To rectify these, people had to undergo surgeries making it sometimes very painful



physically, and emotionally for them. Likewise, conventional anatomical approaches involved large incisions and overstating of soft tissues that resulted in pronounced pain, greater risk of complications and longer intervals of rehabilitation[14].

Such shortcomings are easily heaped on the older procedures, but current advancement has greatly improved the joint replacement surgery. Superior materials highly cross linked polyethylene ceramics and titanium alloys are far better than those in the past. The following materials show high abrasion and improved biocompatibility thereby limiting the occurrence of complications arising from implantation. For example, the action of crosslinked polyethylene decreases wear debris production up to ninety percent, additional to ceramics which provide low friction level, high wear that would mean low chance of dislocation and increased implant life cycle. Thus, patients have fewer complications and prolonged implant operation, which means increased quality of life and, conversely, lower healthcare costs for revision surgeries.

And whereas robotic- assisted surgery and minimally invasive techniques have taken even more drastic further steps toward precisely solving the precision and invasiveness challenges posed by open surgery. Robotic systems offer great precision in implant positioning and orientation; positioning of implants on the wrong axis is minimized, which is one of the major reasons for early implant failures. Literature reviews tend to show evidence proving that robotic – aided procedures provide better functional

outcomes and lesser complications than non-robotic procedures. While minimally invasive surgery (MIS), tends to cause less tissue damage, blood loss and postoperative recovery time. In combination, these techniques which currently define joint replacement surgeries allow the operations to be safer and far more efficient to the patients involved[15].

Of course, the positive outcomes of these innovations are seen, but no process is without its problems. First of all it is optimal cost of the superior material and surgical equipment which is still beyond the reach of many surgeons. For example, when using robotic-assisted systems, there is obviously capital investment on equipment, human resource trainings and equipment maintenance which leads to a higher cost of the procedure. Likewise, production of ESP implantable materials like ceramics and cross linked polyethylene, requires complex manufacturing techniques, which make it costly. These financial implications can help to act as a barrier to its use, especially in low income health systems or any system where the cost plays has a major influence to make in the delivery of health services.

Another fundamental issue remains connectivity which is still Major especially in developing nations. Some of the discussed progresses like, robotic-assisted surgery, or wearable rehabilitation instruments are mostly used in HICs or specialized clinics. This disparity restricts these innovations to the top end of the global populous leaving patients in developing countries with ineffective tools. These disparities can only be solved through planned



attempts at containing cost, improving training initiatives, and establishing sustainable effective solutions within low-resource settings.

Besides, such techniques as the robotic-assisted surgery has been proved to show better result if used, but again, it has its demerit also. Using these systems does require extensive training for surgeons and this actually offsets at least some of the benefits in the beginning for awhile. In addition, the use of technology provides risks of malfunction or technical error, which despite its insignificance, are detrimental to patient care. Such factors help to explain why the idea of a dual approach to new technologies is so important, to avoid the neglect of patient safety and the barrier to patient access to care that technology could bring about[16].

Indeed, the advancement in joint replacement surgery has continued to expand into the future due to innovation and trends in a personalized approach to practice. One is bioengineering natural implants that have the characteristics of both bone and cartilage tissue. New developments in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine, which represent the key approach to developing novel surgical implants, offer the opportunity for perfectly compliant implants with first-rate long-term prognosis. Such bioengineered solutions could help overcome some of the shortcomings of the existing materials as well as expand potential for partial or customized solutions.

Another frontier that has 'revolutionary' impact on joint replacement surgery is artificial intelligence (AI). Surgical solutions based on artificial intelligence

can improve surgical preparation since the application can obtain and analyse all the data about a particular patient's anatomy and biomechanics to propose tailored surgical approaches. In this manner, with the aid of AI integration which make the procedure more specific to a patient Adding AI to the process, can increase the predictability of implant positioning, stability as well as functioning and minimize possible troublesome outcomes and enhance the level of patient satisfaction. Injured patients' rehabilitation data can also be interpreted by AI algorithms to help monitor patient condition after operations and to offer real-time feedback to make further interventions[17].

Rehabilitation programs are also changing and moving from the utilisation of traditional facility based programs to home based and telemedicine solutions. Wearable sensors, telehealth platforms and VR tools are revolutionizing the way patients undergo care after joint replacement surgery. Unlike conventional therapies, these technologies not only help in monitoring the progress and feed back to the physician but also in most cases engage the patient in the rehabilitation process hence favourable compliance. Breaking down the barriers to these products will thus be crucial for unlocking full value of such opportunities especially in the developing worlds. Further critical emphasis shall be exercised towards the reformulation of cost efficient and mass deployable strategies in order to prevent limiting the use of advanced rehabilitation programs amongst diverse patients based on geographical or financial potential related issues.



Lastly, as for the two major factors affecting these innovations; cost and accessibility, they both cannot be addressed by a single solution. Cost control strategies together with multi-stakeholder involvement involving health care agencies, industry, and policy authorities will play future significant roles in establishing feasible and sustainable pricing strategies. Subsidies, training programs and public private partnership could go a long way in bridging the gap between resource rich and scarce settings to make the best use of the advanced joint replacement technologies[18].

Conclusion

In conclusion, the developments in materials used for the implants, procedures used during surgery and rehabilitation all combine to allow Joint Replacement patients improved implant durability, better function, and quicker healing. All these innovations including highly durable materials, the use of robots to increase accuracy and control the extent of mechanical alterations, and physical rehabilitation through technology have helped to change the profession and reduce complications and enhance clients quality of life. According to the presented study, applying the best research practice that has adopted new trends should be embraced in clinical practice to enhance patients' care delivery. However, issues like cost, accessibility are still there and hence again the call for more research which might be answer to cheapest way of providing access. True to its potential for innovation and was improved over the world with affirmative contributions to serve patients inclusively and

holistically to improve quality standards of joint replacement surgery.

References

- [1] S. Verma, "Developments of PEEK (Polyetheretherketone) as a biomedical material: A focused review," *European Polymer Journal*, vol. 147, p. 110295, 2021.
- [2] S. Gautam, "Recent advancements in nanomaterials for biomedical implants," *Biomedical Engineering Advances*, vol. 3, p. 100029, 2022.
- [3] J. Palmer, "Medial opening wedge high tibial osteotomy for the treatment of medial unicompartmental knee osteoarthritis: A state-of-the-art review," *Journal of ISAKOS*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 39-52, 2024.
- [4] A. P. B. d. S. E. E. PhD, "Advances in Value-Based Healthcare by the Application of Time-Driven Activity-Based Costing for Inpatient Management: A Systematic Review," *Value in Health*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 812-823, 2020.
- [5] A. Aufa, "Recent advances in Ti-6Al-4V additively manufactured by selective laser melting for biomedical implants: Prospect development," *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, vol. 896, p. 163072, 2022.
- [6] L. Alzubaidi, "Comprehensive review of deep learning in orthopaedics: Applications, challenges, trustworthiness, and fusion," *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, vol. 155, p.



- 102935, 2024.
- [7] C. Goswami, "Mechanical physical and wear properties of some oxide ceramics for hip joint application: A short review," *Materials Today: Proceedings*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 4913-4918, 2021.
- [8] S. Arevalo, "An overview of the tribological and mechanical properties of PEEK and CFR-PEEK for use in total joint replacements," *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*, vol. 145, p. 105974, 2023.
- [9] T. Tom, "Additive manufacturing in the biomedical field-recent research developments," *Results in Engineering*, vol. 16, p. 100661, 2022.
- [10] T. Morgan, "The effectiveness of prophylactic closed incision negative pressure wound therapy compared to conventional dressings in the prevention of periprosthetic joint infection post hip and knee revision arthroplasty surgery: A systematic review," *International Journal of Orthopaedic and Trauma Nursing*, vol. 53, p. 101048, 2024.
- [11] A. Bandyopadhyay, "Improving biocompatibility for next generation of metallic implants," *Progress in Materials Science*, vol. 133, p. 101053, 2023.
- [12] M. Marian, "Roadmap for 2D materials in biotribological/biomedical applications – A review," *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, vol. 307, p. 102747, 2022.
- [13] A. Farazin, "3D bio-printing for use as bone replacement tissues: A review of biomedical application," *Biomedical Engineering Advances*, vol. 5, p. 100075, 2023.
- [14] D. Gan, "Piezo1 activation accelerates osteoarthritis progression and the targeted therapy effect of artemisinin," *Journal of Advanced Research*, vol. 62, pp. 105-117, 2024.
- [15] J. A. Wallis, "Barriers and enablers to uptake of a contemporary guideline-based management program for hip and knee osteoarthritis: A qualitative study," *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage Open*, vol. 2, no. 4, p. 100095, 2020.
- [16] Z. Wang, "Instructive cartilage regeneration modalities with advanced therapeutic implantations under abnormal conditions," *Bioactive Materials*, vol. 11, pp. 317-338, 2022.
- [17] R. Gualtierotti, "Hemophilic arthropathy: Current knowledge and future perspectives," *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 19, no. 9, pp. 2112-2121, 2021.
- [18] N. Ozeki, "Surgical treatment of complex meniscus tear and disease: state of the art," *Journal of ISAKOS*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 35-45, 2021.



Clinical and Experimental
Health Sciences
